

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/524,587

Applicant(s)

MANABE ET AL.

Examiner

Chanh Nguyen

Art Unit

2675

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Chanh Nguyen (PTO).

(3)_____.

(2) Lawrence Ashery.

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: 06 May 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 21-36 and 43.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lindblad.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicant presents the limitation "an "acute angle" formed by two plane. However, the claimed "an acute angle" is broad enough to read on the angle fomed by Lindblad. Applicant should define the two planes which is different from the reflecting planes (if that is true) so that it can overcome the rejection .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required